

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/026,208	10/026,208 12/21/2001		Yuzuru Kondoh	81846.0031	8475
26023	7590	08/18/2004	EXAMINER		
	I & HART RAND AV	rson L.L.P. Enue	PURVIS, SUE A		
SUITE 19	900		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS AN	GELES, C	A 90071-2611	1734		
				DATE MAILED: 08/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/026,208	KONDOH, YUZURU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sue A. Purvis	1734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		\\				
<u> </u>	action is non-final.	<u> </u>				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 Q.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		:				
<u> </u>		:				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.		: :				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-16</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.	•	•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Danson						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		>				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
	arminer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF IONIT PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	□	(77. 0				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>25 Mar 2002</u> . S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wurz et al. (US Patent No. 5,705,021).
- 3. Wurz discloses a sheet supply section, a sheet cutting section, and a sheet set section with a vacuum transfer head capable of performing the functions set forth in the applicants claims. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). The preamble has not been given patentable weight because it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 19, line 22 refers to Figure 7 and then discusses seven work stages. These work stages are disclosed in Figure 10, not in Figure 7.

Art Unit: 1734

Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-16 are allowed.

6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Prior art fails to teach or suggest the output lead wire apparatus as set form in claims 3-4, the sheet set apparatus set forth in claims 5-8, and the sealing preparation apparatus detailed in claims 9-16.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Listed on attached PTO-892.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue A. Purvis whose telephone number is (571) 272-1236. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9am to 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher A. Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sue A. Purvis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1734

SP August 6, 2004